

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
4 January 2001 (04.01.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/00003 A2

(51) International Patent Classification: Not classified

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/17396

(22) International Filing Date: 22 June 2000 (22.06.2000)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
60/140,379 22 June 1999 (22.06.1999) US

(71) Applicant: CIRQUE CORPORATION [US/US]; 433
West Lawndale Drive, Salt Lake City, UT 84115 (US).

(72) Inventors: GERPHEIDE, George; 3481 South Monte
Verde Lane, Salt Lake City, UT 84109 (US). TAYLOR,
Brian; 15 Rollingwood Lane, Sandy, UT 84092 (US).
LEE, Daniel; 1970 Laird Drive, Salt Lake City, UT 84105
(US).

(74) Agents: O'BRYANT, David, W. et al.; Morriss, Bateman,
O'Bryant & Compagni, P.C., Suite 300, 5882 South 900
East, Salt Lake City, UT 84121 (US).

(81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU,
AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ,
DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR,
HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR,
LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ,
NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM,
TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM,
KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian
patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European
patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE,
IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG,
CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— Without international search report and to be republished
upon receipt of that report.

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guid-
ance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the begin-
ning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: AN IMPROVED TOUCHPAD HAVING INCREASED NOISE REJECTION, DECREASED MOISTURE SENSITIV-
ITY, AND IMPROVED TRACKING

(57) Abstract: An improved touchpad and measurement circuitry for enabling input to a computer or other electronic device. The system includes an X electrode, a Y electrode, a common sensing electrode, and a "water" electrode, wherein these four separate electrodes can be implemented in various physical configurations to obtain the desired effects, wherein moisture and water droplets can be identified and compensated for so as not to interfere with the input of data, wherein noise rejection is achieved by using a time aperture filtering method, wherein an improved scanning technique focuses scanning around an identified input object, wherein an adaptive motion filter responds to the speed and acceleration of an object being tracked, and wherein the measurement circuitry has an increased dynamic range enabling the touchpad to operate with greater tolerances to manufacturing variances.

WO 01/00003 A2

AN IMPROVED TOUCHPAD HAVING INCREASED NOISE REJECTION,
DECREASED MOISTURE SENSITIVITY, AND IMPROVED TRACKING

5

BACKGROUND

1. The Field Of The Invention.

This invention relates generally to touchpad technology. Specifically, the invention is an improved system and apparatus for utilizing a touchpad which is primarily used for cursor control on a computer display. The advantages of the invention relate generally to improved noise rejection, immunity to the effects of moisture on the touchpad surface, increased manufacturing tolerances, an improved scanning pattern, and an adaptive motion filter.

2. The State Of The Art

The state of the art in capacitance sensitive touchpad technology spans a variety of different technologies and methodologies for sensing the location and movement of a pointing object as it moves across a touchpad surface. The means by which data can be input to a computer or other electronic apparatus are many. For example, one method of providing input is through manipulation of a cursor on a computer display. By controlling a cursor, the cursor can be caused to move icons or other objects on the display, such as text, or select buttons, hyperlinks or icons. In addition, discrete tappings on the touchpad surface can be caused to actuate buttons or controls that are disposed beneath the cursor on the computer display. Another method of data input includes using gestures that can be recognized by programming routines disposed in the software or firmware of the touchpad.

However, regardless of whether the touchpad is being used for cursor control or any of the other methods of data input, touchpads are being called upon to be more versatile, and to operate more reliably, especially in adverse operating conditions and environments.

The demands of data input reliability are especially becoming more critical. Touchpads are not only being used in many portable computers, but also in personal information managers (PIMs) and personal digital assistants (PDAs). The desire to be mobile and at the same time connected to communications services has consequently created the need for novel forms of data input. But new applications for the use of touchpads have brought more challenges for reliable performance. These challenges not only come from the devices in which they are used, but the environments in which they operate as well.

For example, moisture on a touchpad surface has always been a hindrance to reliable touchpad operation. But touchpads are not only being used in very humid climates, but also in inclement weather, where water droplets might splash onto the touchpad surface. State of the art touchpads perform poorly in these situations, again posing challenges to reliable touchpad performance.

Weather and climate are not the only formidable problems for touchpads. For example, the electronic environment poses its own kind of challenges. Consider a portable computer that is operating on its own internal battery power supply. The user might want to save battery power by plugging into an AC outlet. The electronic noise generated by an AC power source is very large, and can significantly impact the performance of a touchpad.

Another problem in the state of the art is inevitable when the touchpad is being used in a portable device. Power consumption will always be an issue when operating away from an AC or large DC power source. However, touchpad circuitry has generally not been considered an area where power usage can be minimized. Nevertheless, it has been determined by the inventors that significant improvements in power conservation can be obtained.

Accordingly, what is needed is an improved touchpad that is able to compensate for general electromagnetic noise (EMI) interference, as well as the more specific problems of noise from an AC power source. It would also be an improvement to have increased immunity to the problems created for a touchpad when exposed to moisture and water droplets.

Along with the noise and moisture problems identified above, there are other problems more general to touchpad performance. For example, manufacturing tolerances of the sensing circuitry are not very forgiving in the state of the art. The result is that touchpads have required precision layout of sensor electrodes. Without precision layout, the sensing circuitry is not capable of compensating for variations in the layout. Thus, manufacturers have often had to use more costly PC boards to obtain the precise layout needed for reliable touchpad operation.

Accordingly, it would be an improvement over the prior art to improve the performance of the sensing circuitry such that the circuitry could tolerate and compensate for greater variations in the layout of the sensing electrodes. This can be accomplished by improving the dynamic range of the sensing circuitry.

Another problem inherent to touchpads is locating a valid object on the touchpad surface. The total number of measurements of the sensing circuitry that are required to locate the valid object has a
5 significant affect on power consumption. Accordingly, it would an improvement over the prior art to have quicker scanning algorithms, thereby enabling the sensor circuitry to power down and thereby conserve power. It would also be an advantage over the prior
10 art to be able to compensate for objects which are no longer considered to be valid objects on the touchpad surface.

Another reliability issue of touchpads lies in the sensing circuitry. The prior art generally scans
15 for objects with a single algorithm. In other words, there are no adjustments made in scanning routines for objects that are moving rapidly or slowly.

It would be an improvement over the prior art to utilize an adaptive motion filter which can compensate
20 for the speed at which an object on the touchpad surface is moving. The advantage of this type of filtering is that the touchpad is capable of more precise sensing when the object is moving slowly, and keep pace with an object that is moving faster by
25 using reduced filtering. Effectively, the resolution is decreased in exchange for keeping pace with the object being tracked.

A last performance issue of prior art touchpads is concerned with the sampling of data from the
30 sensing electrodes. Noise in the touchpad circuitry can prevent the sensing circuitry from performing correctly.

Therefore, it would be an advantage over the state of the art in touchpads to provide a filter
35 which could compensate for noise and prevent false

signals from affecting location calculations of the touchpad.

OBJECTS AND SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5 It is an object of the invention to provide a system and method for improved touchpad performance in the presence of moisture.

 It is another object to provide a system and method for improved touchpad performance when there is
10 at least one water droplet on a touchpad surface.

 It is another object to provide a system and method for improved touchpad performance through increased electronic noise rejection.

 It is another object to provide a system and
15 method for improved touchpad performance through increased EMI and power supply noise rejection.

 It is another object to provide a system and method for improved power conservation through an improved scanning routine used in the identification
20 and tracking of objects on the touchpad surface.

 It is another object to provide a system and method for improved identification of objects on a touchpad surface so that extraneous objects can be more readily ignored by touchpad circuitry.

25 It is another object to provide a system and method for improved touchpad performance regarding tracking of objects relative to speed and acceleration by being able to adjust tracking resolution relative to the speed of an object being tracked.

30 It is another object to provide a system and method for improved tolerance to manufacturing variations by providing sensing circuitry with an increased dynamic range.

It is another object to provide a system and method for improved position detection by using time aperture filtering to reduce the effects of noise.

The above objects are realized in a specific illustrative embodiment of a system and method including a touchpad and measurement circuitry for enabling input to a computer or other electronic device. The system includes an X electrode, a Y electrode, a common sensing electrode, and a "water" electrode, where these four separate electrodes can be implemented in various physical configurations to obtain the desired effects, wherein moisture and water droplets can be identified and compensated for so as not to interfere with the input of data, wherein noise rejection is achieved by using a time aperture filtering method, where an improved scanning technique focuses scanning around an identified input object, and where an adaptive motion filter responds to the speed and acceleration of an object being tracked, and wherein the measurement circuitry has an increased dynamic range enabling the touchpad to operate with greater tolerances to manufacturing variances.

In accordance with a first aspect of the invention, the touchpad disposes the water electrode as near to the surface of the touchpad as is practical. In a preferred embodiment, the X or Y electrode is combined with the water electrode just beneath the touchpad surface.

In accordance with a second aspect of the invention, the water electrode capacitively couples to water on the touchpad surface to thereby balance the added capacitance between the drive (X and Y) electrodes and the common sensing electrode.

In accordance with a third aspect of the invention, a scanning method is modified to more

rapidly identify the presence of an input object such as a finger. The scanning pattern is then minimized around the location of the finger, eliminating the need to scan the entire touchpad surface until the
5 finger is removed.

In accordance with a fourth aspect of the invention, a quicker scanning routine results in reduced power consumption because the sensing circuitry completes its locating and tracking task
10 more efficiently.

In accordance with a fifth aspect of the invention, inherent imbalances within the touchpad sensors are reduced to thereby enable the touchpad to be able to tolerate larger manufacturing discrepancies
15 thereof.

In accordance with a sixth aspect of the invention, a time aperture filter is used to more selectively obtain position information of an object on the touchpad surface, thereby reducing the
20 deleterious affects of noise.

In accordance with a seventh aspect of the invention, the aperture filter selectively obtains position information using a changing frequency, thereby further eliminating unwanted noise which is
25 otherwise synchronous with the sampling rate of the time aperture filter.

In accordance with an eighth aspect of the invention, an adaptive motion filter makes adjustments to a level of precision and a response rate relative
30 to the speed and acceleration of a tracked object.

In accordance with a ninth aspect of the invention, improved identification of objects on a touchpad surface enables the system to ignore extraneous objects.

These and other objects, features, advantages and alternative aspects of the present invention will become apparent to those skilled in the art from a consideration of the following detailed description
5 taken in combination with the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

Figure 1 is a perspective and expanded illustration of the touchpad surface and the electrode
10 planes which is made in accordance with the presently preferred embodiment of the invention.

Figure 2 is a top view of an electrode plane which shows a preferred configuration of interleaving for the preferred two electrodes which are present
15 thereon.

Figure 3 is a graphical view showing a waveform of a drive signal which is applied to the X and Y electrodes as taught by the parent application.

Figure 4 is a circuit diagram which shows the
20 preferred method of applying a time aperture filter to the measurement circuitry of the touchpad, to thereby prevent a substantial portion of noise that affects the circuitry from interfering with the measurements.

Figure 5 is a block diagram which shows the
25 relationship of the components within a capacitance sensitive touchpad which is made in accordance with the principles of the presently preferred embodiment.

30

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Reference will now be made to the drawings in which the various elements of the present invention will be given numerical designations and in which the invention will be discussed so as to enable one

skilled in the art to make and use the invention. It is to be understood that the following description is only exemplary of the principles of the present invention, and should not be viewed as narrowing the
5 claims which follow.

The present invention makes significant improvements in the overall performance of a touchpad. However, while some of the improvements are specific to a capacitance sensitive touchpad, other
10 improvements are more generalized. Nevertheless, the presently preferred embodiment of the invention is a capacitance sensitive touchpad having a plurality of electrodes disposed in a plurality of electrode planes or layers. The circuitry and operation thereof are
15 presently integrated into the PEGASUS(TM) chip of Cirque Corporation.

Figure 1 shows that, in the presently preferred embodiment, two electrode planes 10, 12 are utilized. The figure is a profile view which exaggerates the
20 thicknesses of the electrodes, and the distance between the electrode planes 10, 12 and the touchpad surface 14. It is also preferred that a "water" electrode be incorporated into the top electrode plane 10. This placement is preferred as a result of the
25 function of the water electrode. In order to capacitively couple to water on the touchpad surface 14, the water electrode needs to be as close as possible to the touchpad surface 14 in order to minimize its size. The farther away from the touchpad
30 surface 14 that the water electrode is positioned, the larger it must be.

Advantageously, it is possible to dispose another electrode in the electrode plane 10. Because it is also preferable to separate the drive electrodes from
35 the common sensing electrode, the X or the Y electrode

is disposed therein. This is accomplished by interleaving or interdigitating the water electrode and the X or Y electrode. For the purposes of the preferred embodiment, the X electrode is selected as sharing the top electrode plane 10. The X electrode and the water electrode are shown "on end" in this view. It is also observed that only a few electrodes are illustrated in this figure. The actual number of electrodes is greater in an actual touchpad. This figure is for illustration purposes only.

The Y electrode and the common sensing electrode are disposed on the bottom electrode plane 12. The Y electrode is interleaved with the common sensing electrode to reduce the total number of electrode planes that are used. This results in a cost savings, and reduced complexity in the design. The Y electrode and the common sensing electrode are shown on a side edge, thus exposing only one of them in figure 1.

Figure 2 is a top view of an electrode plane. The figure is provided to illustrate one possible configuration of electrodes on a surface thereof. What is important to note is that there are a plurality of "fingers" which are interleaved to thereby maximize the extent of coverage by an electrode across the area of the touchpad. The figure illustrates a preferred configuration of interleaving which would occur on both of the electrode planes 10 and 12 of figure 1.

For example, the electrode 16 could be the X electrode of the top electrode plane 10, and the electrode 18 could be the water electrode. It is noted that the thickness, placement, and number of electrode fingers is not to be considered to be accurate. The figure is provided only to illustrate the concept of using interleaved electrodes to make

maximum use of a single electrode plane by combining electrodes. Furthermore, it is also noted that the water electrode is most likely to have fingers that are wider than the X, Y or common sense electrodes.

5 It has been determined that the water electrode is ideally given a maximum amount of surface area possible in order to couple to any liquid that is present on the touchpad surface.

It is observed that this illustration also serves
10 to show the configuration of the Y electrode and the common sensing electrode. The Y electrode would be shown as 16, and the common sensing electrode as 18. Of course, the orientation of the Y and the common sensing electrode would be perpendicular to the
15 orientation shown for the X electrode and the water electrode.

Another advantage of the present invention is the ability to cancel out any signal generated by water that is disposed on the touchpad surface. Using the
20 touch sensing circuitry of the present invention, a drop of water increases measured capacitance, while a finger decreases measured capacitance. This fact is used to balance a positively driven side of a touchpad to a negatively driven side of the touchpad pad,
25 thereby enabling software to cancel out the effect of the water. For this reason the water electrode is said to "balance" out the added capacitance between the drive electrodes (X and Y), and the common sensing electrode. In other words, by adding an electrode
30 which is dedicated to subtracting the influence of water, the drive and sensing electrodes are able to function as desired without interference by the water droplet.

It is noted that the water droplet which is being
35 detected and canceled by using the "water" electrode

out can be some other liquid. The purpose of detecting and canceling out the effect of a liquid on the touchpad surface is solely to increase reliability of a touchpad device under many different operating
5 conditions. While it is more likely that water is going to be the liquid that is present on the touchpad surface, it is not the only liquid which the "water" electrode will detect.

Noise rejection in touchpad circuitry is a very
10 important issue for portable devices. Portable devices which incorporate a touchpad can often be operated using an internal power supply or by plugging in to an external power source. Unfortunately, an external power source can generate a significant
15 amount of noise which is not filtered from the touchpad or other system circuitry. For reliable operation, the touchpad needs to be able to reject noise which will otherwise generate false readings to the electrodes.

20 Advantageously, a time aperture filter is utilized to filter out noise. The basic principle of operation is to only take a measurement reading when it is known that data can be read. In other words, by ignoring all other signal input except during a short
25 measurement window, extraneous signals will have a much smaller opportunity to affect touchpad performance.

Figure 3 is provided to illustrate the concept above. Specifically, a waveform is shown having a
30 frequency at which the electrodes X and Y generate a signal. It was observed that the most useful measurement information from the position sensing electrodes is obtained during a relatively short time period with respect to the total duration of the
35 driven signal. The relatively short useful time

period is indicated by time frame 20. In contrast, the entire time period from the beginning of one sensing signal being generated to the next is indicated by time frame 22. Figure 3 is not shown to
5 scale because time frame 20 is approximately 1/20th in size relative to time frame 22. More specifically, time frame 22 is approximately 10 μ s, and time frame 20 is approximately 500 ns in the presently preferred embodiment.

10 Figure 4 is provided as an illustration of a circuit 30 which can be utilized to accomplish the desired filtering. The aperture is placed at the back end of a transconductance amplifier, or in front of the converter. The aperture is opened just prior to
15 an electrode transition, enabling the change from the transition to be transferred into the converter. When the aperture time is completed, the aperture is closed by transferring or draining any remaining charge to a reference. The result is that only the charge or
20 signal that is related to an electrode event is passed to the converter, and all other signals that would be noise generated are stopped or filtered away from the converter. In other words, most of the measurement signal can be ignored, thereby minimizing the time
25 frame in which noise is able to influence the measurement being taken from the common sensing electrode.

It is also realized that a noise signal that is synchronous with the preferred time aperture filter
30 might still interfere with the sensing function. Accordingly, an alternative embodiment is to vary the frequency that is driving the electrodes, and thus the frequency of the aperture window in which measurements are taken. This would eliminate the possibility of

allowing a time synchronous signal to regularly interfere with the measurement process.

It is noted that the sources of noise are many, but are often from power sources.

5 The presently preferred embodiment also includes an adaptive motion filter. The adaptive motion filter will adjust performance of the touchpad in accordance with the changing parameters of an object being tracked. These parameters include the aspects of
10 speed and acceleration.

For example, consider a finger on a touchpad which is slowly moving over the surface. The present invention first determines the presence of the finger, and then determines an instantaneous speed of the
15 finger. A speed threshold value is set within software of the touchpad. The software provides a trade off in performance of the touchpad. If the finger is determined to be moving slowly because its speed is below the speed threshold value, then it is
20 more advantageous if the touchpad provides greater precision in tracking the position of the finger as opposed to providing more rapid updates of the finger position. This is because slow movement is generally the result of a finger more precisely controlling
25 movement of a cursor on a computer display. At the very least, the need for providing precise movement control was recognized, and thus incorporated into the present invention. The relatively slower position updates of the finger location are also inherently not
30 a problem for the simple fact that the finger is moving slowly.

In contrast, the software provides the opposite trade off in performance of the touchpad if the finger is determined to be moving rapidly because its speed
35 is above the speed threshold value. It is more

advantageous, for example, if the touchpad provides quicker response in updating its location on the touchpad, and therefore the corresponding location of a cursor on a computer display. The finger is
5 probably moving the cursor to a new location or even dragging an object across a desktop. Precision in this case is not as important as making sure that the cursor is accurately displaying the last known and relatively less precise position of the finger on the
10 touchpad. Furthermore, the relatively lower precision of the finger location is inherently not a problem for the simple fact that the finger is moving so quickly.

It is observed that the acceleration and deceleration of the finger on the touchpad surface is
15 handled by using the same speed threshold value. The measurements taken of the finger to determine the relatively instantaneous speed of the finger are more than adequate to make compensations on the fly as the finger accelerates to a relatively constant speed, and
20 then decelerates to a stop.

It is also observed that there can be more than one speed threshold value. For example, there can be a lower speed threshold, midrange speed, and upper speed threshold. Accordingly, the factors of
25 precision and response could be modified at the for these three different areas, or for an even greater number of divisions of speed. However, for simplicity, the preferred embodiment operates under a scheme which defines two possible levels of precision
30 and response time.

Another feature of the presently preferred embodiment is the improvement of a scanning routine. The scanning routine refers to the function of detecting, identifying and tracking an object that
35 touches the touchpad surface. The present invention

provides a scanning function which is more efficient and uses less power than scanning functions of the prior art.

When there are no objects being tracked on the touchpad surface, the scanning function of the presently preferred embodiment is in a wide scan mode. In other words, all of the electrodes that can be driven are activated so that the presence of a new object can be detected at any location on the touchpad. For example, consider a finger which touches the surface of the touchpad. The scanning function detects the presence because of the decrease in capacitance between electrodes and the common sense electrode at the location of the finger. This ability is present in the state of the art scanning functions.

However, one new feature of the scanning function is the ability to then focus the scanning function. In other words, instead of keeping all of the electrodes powered up, only the electrodes in the immediate vicinity of the detected object are kept active. This means that another object, such as a finger, could also be placed on the touchpad surface. As long as the first object remains in contact with the touchpad surface, the new object will be ignored. Should the first object then be removed from the touchpad surface, the scanning routine immediately widens its search pattern by again activating all of the electrodes. The second object will be detected, and then the scanning routine will again focus, deactivating the majority of the electrodes of the touchpad.

Suppose, however, that the first object to be placed on the touchpad surface is not a finger or stylus, but a drop of water. The touchpad determines that the first object is a liquid because it increases

the capacitance between the drive electrodes and the common sense electrode, instead of decreasing it which occurs with objects that are used as input devices (a finger, stylus, etc.). The water electrode is used to
5 eliminate the effect of the water droplet.

Advantageously, from that point on, the water droplet and its effect on the touchpad circuitry is ignored.

The situation might also arise where the water droplet moves. In this scenario, its effect on the
10 touchpad must again be compensated for, and its influence canceled out again. Therefore, as long as the water droplet is stationary, its effect on the touchpad is able to be ignored. Movement of the water droplet requires recompensation.

15 It should also be apparent that the addition of a second, or third, or other number of water droplets will require the scanning routine to again compensate for their effects on the touchpad circuitry. Each water droplet is identified and its effect canceled
20 out. The scanning routine will therefore not focus on water droplets. If the touchpad surface is therefore covered by several water droplets, the scanning routine will ignore them after identifying and then canceling them out. The touchpad surface thus remains
25 in a wide scan mode where all the scanning electrodes are activated so as to be looking for an object that will provide input.

Another improvement which is taught in the presently preferred embodiment is an increased dynamic
30 range of the touchpad circuitry. The presently preferred embodiment for the touchpad is based on capacitance sensing. A problem with the X and Y driving electrodes of such a touchpad is that manufacturing tolerances for the different "fingers"
35 of the X and Y electrodes could result in current

imbalances when the widths of the fingers are different. While small, these current imbalances typically resulted in the smallest measurement bits being discarded because they could not be considered
5 reliable.

The present invention has resulted in a significant improvement in the reliability of the lowest bits of measurement circuitry in the touchpad. The improvement comes from two factors. First, an
10 improved analog-to-digital converter is included in the measurement circuitry. Specifically, a noise level within the A/D converter is significantly decreased.

The second factor is an unexpected result which
15 came about as a consequence of the new A/D converter. Specifically, the number of samplings taken by the measurement circuitry was doubled to thereby cause a decrease in the noise of the A/D converter.

Together, the decreased noise of the A/D
20 converter and the two-fold increase in the number of samples of the measurement circuitry have combined to create at least a four-fold increase in accuracy of the touchpad sensing circuitry.

Advantageously, it is possible to use the
25 improved manufacturing tolerances in some unexpected ways. For example, given that the measurement circuitry can tolerate more noise and imprecision of associated circuitry, it was determined through experimentation that it is possible to lay out sensing
30 electrodes using relatively imprecise means. For example, the sensing electrodes can be laid out using a simple silk screening process. Such a manufacturing technique has great implications in the touchpad industry because of the never ending desire to product

an inexpensive touchpad, but with improved performance and features.

It is to be understood that the above-described arrangements are only illustrative of the application
5 of the principles of the present invention. Numerous modifications and alternative arrangements may be devised by those skilled in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention. The appended claims are intended to cover such
10 modifications and arrangements.

CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

1. A method for decreasing noise in a capacitance sensitive touchpad to thereby improve accuracy of operation, said method comprising the steps of:

(1) disposing a time aperture filter between at least one sense electrode and at least one measurement circuit, wherein the time aperture filter opens prior to an electrode transition of at least one sense electrodes, and remains open for a selectable time aperture window period; and

(2) draining remaining charge from the time aperture filter after the time aperture filter closes, to a reference circuit, thereby preventing the remaining charge from reaching the at least one measurement circuit.

2. The method as defined in claim 1 wherein the method further comprises the steps of:

(1) utilizing at least one A/D converter as a portion of the at least measurement circuit; and

(2) passing power that is in the time aperture filter during the selectable time aperture window period to the at least one A/D converter, wherein data from the A/D converter is used to determine if an electrode event has occurred on the at least one sense electrode, wherein the at least one time aperture filter is thereby capable of rejecting in-band noise.

3. The method as defined in claim 2 wherein the method further comprises the step of disposing the at least one time aperture filter on a front end transconductance amplifier, thereby preventing most electrical charge that is noise related from reaching

the at least one A/D of the at least one measurement circuit.

4. The method as defined in claim 3 wherein the
5 method further comprises the step of decoupling an actual electrode frequency from the time aperture filter thereby making the at least one time aperture filter time dependent and not frequency dependent.

10 5. The method as defined in claim 4 wherein the method further comprises the step of continuously varying a drive frequency of the at least one sense electrode to thereby eliminate noise that is generated at generally fixed frequencies from reaching the at
15 least one measurement circuit.

6. A capacitance sensitive touchpad that filters noise on a sense electrode from reaching measurement circuitry which detects an electrode event that is
20 indicative of the presence of an object on a surface of the touchpad, said noise filtering touchpad comprising:

at least one sense electrode which is driven by at least one drive frequency, and which generates at
25 least one electrode event when an object on the surface of the touchpad causes a change in capacitance on the at least one sense electrode;

at least one measurement circuit that receives an electrical signal from the at least one sense
30 electrode, wherein the at least one measurement circuit is capable of detecting the at least one electrode event; and

a time aperture filter disposed between the at least one sense electrode and the at least one
35 measurement circuit, wherein the time aperture filter

is capable of passing the electrical signal that is indicative of the electrode event, while preventing noise on the at least one sense electrode from reaching the at least one measurement circuit to
5 thereby improve performance by decreasing sensitivity of the measurement circuit to noise.

7. The capacitance sensitive touchpad as defined in claim 6 wherein the capacitance sensitive touchpad
10 further comprises a reference circuit which is coupled to the time aperture filter, wherein an electrical charge can be drained from the time aperture filter to the reference circuit.

15 8. The capacitance sensitive touchpad as defined in claim 7 wherein the time aperture filter enables the electrical signal to reach the at least one measurement circuit when a selectable time aperture duration window is opened, and prevents the electrical
20 signal from reaching the at least one measurement circuit when the selectable time aperture duration window is closed.

25 9. The capacitance sensitive touchpad as defined in claim 8 wherein the at least one measurement circuit further comprises:

at least one transconductance amplifier; and
at least one A/D converter which is coupled to
30 the at least one transconductance amplifier via the time aperture filter.

10. The capacitance sensitive touchpad as defined in claim 9 wherein the time aperture filter is controlled
35 by a timing circuit so as not to be dependent upon a

drive frequency of the at least one sense electrode to thereby eliminate noise that is generated at generally fixed frequencies from reaching the at least one measurement circuit.

5

11. The capacitance sensitive touchpad as defined in claim 6 wherein the at least one sensor electrode is further comprised of:

- a common sensing electrode in a first plane;
- 10 an array of first electrodes in a second plane which are arranged generally parallel to each other, wherein the second plane is parallel to the first plane, and wherein the array of first electrodes is driven to the common sensing electrode; and
- 15 an array of second electrodes in a third plane which are arranged generally parallel to each other, wherein the third plane is parallel to the first plane, wherein the array of second electrodes is driven to the common sensing electrode, and wherein
- 20 the array of second electrodes is disposed in a direction which is perpendicular to a direction of the array of first electrodes.

12. A capacitance sensitive touchpad that is capable of compensating for the presence of liquid or moisture on a surface of the touchpad, thereby enabling the touchpad to operate in humid or wet environments, said touchpad comprising:

- a common sensing electrode disposed in a first
- 30 plane;
- an array of first electrodes disposed in a second plane which are arranged generally parallel to each other, wherein the second plane is parallel to the first plane, and wherein the array of first electrodes
- 35 is driven to the common sensing electrode;

an array of second electrodes disposed in a third plane which are arranged generally parallel to each other, wherein the third plane is parallel to the first plane, wherein the array of second electrodes is driven to the common sensing electrode, and wherein the array of second electrodes is disposed in a direction which is perpendicular to a direction of the array of first electrodes;

a water electrode disposed in a fourth plane, wherein the fourth plane is parallel to the first plane, and wherein the water electrode is capable of capacitively coupling to droplets of water on the surface of the touchpad; and

at least one measurement circuit which receives signals from the array of the first electrodes and the array of second electrodes to thereby determine whether a detected object on the surface of the touchpad is a liquid which increases measured capacitance, or a pointing object such as a finger which decreases measured capacitance.

13. The capacitance sensitive touchpad as defined in claim 12 wherein the water electrode is disposed as near to an underside of the surface of the touchpad as possible in order to maximize the capacitive coupling between the water electrode and a liquid on the surface of the touchpad.

14. The capacitance sensitive touchpad as defined in claim 13 wherein the water electrode is further comprised of a plurality of electrode fingers which can be interleaved with the array of first electrodes or the array of second electrodes, thereby eliminating at least one plane.

35

15. The capacitance sensitive touchpad as defined in claim 14 wherein the electrode fingers are interspersed among the array of first electrodes or the array of second electrodes in order to maximize the surface area of the water electrode, to thereby increase the capacitive coupling of a liquid on the touchpad surface and the water electrode.

16. The capacitance sensitive touchpad as defined in claim 15 wherein the electrode fingers are constructed to fill as much space as possible between the electrodes of the array of first electrodes or the array of second electrodes, to thereby increase the capacitive coupling of a liquid on the touchpad surface and the water electrode.

17. The capacitance sensitive touchpad as defined in claim 16 wherein the touchpad further comprises:

the water electrode and the array of first electrodes which are disposed on a same plane and which are interleaved to thereby enable the water electrode and the array of first electrodes to be generally equally as near to the surface area of the touchpad surface; and

the common sensing electrode and the array of second electrodes which are disposed on a same plane and which are interleaved to thereby enable the common sensing electrode and the array of second electrodes to be generally equally as near to the surface area of the touchpad surface.

18. A method for minimizing the effect of moisture or liquid which is disposed on a surface of a capacitance sensitive touchpad, thereby enabling the touchpad to

operate in humid or wet environments, said method comprising the steps of:

- (1) providing a first electrode array, a second electrode which is in a same plane as the first
5 electrode array but disposed perpendicularly relative to a direction thereof, a common sensing electrode in the same plane, and a water electrode which is also in the same plane;
- (2) providing at least one measurement circuit
10 which receives signals from the first electrode array and the second electrode array;
- (3) driving the first electrode array and the second electrode array to the common sensing electrode;
- (4) determining whether a detected object on the
15 surface of the touchpad is a liquid which increases measured capacitance, or a pointing object such as a finger which decreases measured capacitance; and
- (5) utilizing the capacitive coupling of the
20 water electrode to droplets of liquid on the surface of the touchpad to eliminate an effect of the liquid on touchpad measurements.

19. The method as defined in claim 18 wherein the
25 method further comprises the step of disposing the water electrode as near as possible to an underside of the surface of the touchpad as possible in order to maximize the capacitive coupling between the water electrode and the liquid on the surface of the
30 touchpad.

20. The method as defined in claim 19 wherein the method further comprises the step of constructing the water electrode as a plurality of electrode fingers

which can be interleaved with the array of first electrodes or the array of second electrodes.

21. The method as defined in claim 20 wherein the
5 method further comprises the step of increasing the capacitive coupling of a liquid on the touchpad surface and the water electrode by interspersing the plurality of electrode fingers of the water electrode among the first electrode array or the second
10 electrode array in order to maximize the surface area of the water electrode.

22. The method as defined in claim 21 wherein the method further comprises the steps of:
15 (1) disposing the water electrode and the first electrode array on a first plane; and
(2) disposing the common sensing electrode and the second electrode array on a second plane, to thereby consolidate the arrays and the common sensing
20 electrode on as few planar surfaces as possible.

23. The method as defined in claim 18 wherein the method further comprises the steps of:
(1) positively driving the first electrode array;
25 (2) negatively driving the second electrode array;
(3) detecting a presence of the liquid on the touchpad surface; and
(4) balancing the positively driven first
30 electrode array and the negatively driven second electrode array to thereby cancel out the presence of the liquid such that the presence of the liquid does not interfere with measurements of the touchpad of a finger thereon.

35

24. A method for providing improved performance of a capacitance sensitive touchpad according to an acceleration and speed of an object whose presence is detected on the touchpad surface, said method

5 comprising the steps of:

(1) detecting a speed of the object on the touchpad surface;

(2) determining whether the speed of the object is above or below a speed threshold;

10 (3) increasing a precision of the touchpad when the speed of the object is determined to be below a speed threshold by utilizing an adaptive motion filter; and

15 (4) decreasing a precision of the touchpad when the speed of the object is determined to be above a speed threshold by utilizing the adaptive motion filter.

20 25. The method as defined in claim 24 wherein the method further comprises the step of decreasing a response rate of the adaptive motion filter when the speed of the object is determined to be below a speed threshold.

25 26. The method as defined in claim 24 wherein the method further comprises the step of increasing a response rate of the adaptive motion filter when the speed of the object is determined to be above a speed threshold.

30 27. The method as defined in claim 24 wherein the method further comprises the step of providing a plurality of levels of precision and corresponding response rates in accordance with a plurality of
35 ranges of speed of the object.

28. The method as defined in claim 27 wherein the method further comprises the step of providing a formula which is utilized to determine an instantaneous level of precision and response rate in accordance with an instantaneous speed of the object.

29. A method for providing improved performance of a capacitance sensitive touchpad according to an acceleration of an object whose presence is detected on the touchpad surface, said method comprising the steps of:

- (1) detecting an acceleration of the object on the touchpad surface;
- (2) determining whether the acceleration of the object is above or below an acceleration threshold;
- (3) increasing a precision of the touchpad when the acceleration of the object is determined to be below the acceleration threshold by utilizing an adaptive motion filter; and
- (4) decreasing a precision of the touchpad when the acceleration of the object is determined to be above the acceleration threshold by utilizing the adaptive motion filter.

30. The method as defined in claim 29 wherein the method further comprises the step of decreasing a response rate of the adaptive motion filter when the acceleration of the object is determined to be below the acceleration threshold.

31. The method as defined in claim 29 wherein the method further comprises the step of increasing a response rate of the adaptive motion filter when the acceleration of the object is determined to be above the acceleration threshold.

32. A method for providing improved performance of a capacitance sensitive touchpad by improving a scanning scheme whereby an object is detected, identified and then tracked as it moves across a touchpad surface, said method comprising the steps of:

(1) utilizing a wide scanning mode when no objects are detected on the touchpad surface, wherein all electrodes are driven to an active mode so that the presence of an object can be detected at any location on the touchpad;

(2) detecting an object that touches the touchpad surface by observing a decrease in capacitance between electrodes and the common sense electrode in a vicinity of a location of the object; and

(3) utilizing a focused scanning mode to thereby concentrate position determining activities in the vicinity of the location of the object.

33. The method as defined in claim 32 wherein the method further comprises the step of deactivating electrodes that are not in the vicinity of the location of the object when in the focused scan mode, to thereby make a scanning process more efficient.

34. The method as defined in claim 33 wherein the method further comprises the step of ignoring any new object which also makes contact with the touchpad surface as long as the object maintains continuous contact with the touchpad surface.

35. The method as defined in claim 34 wherein the method further comprises the step of returning to the wide scanning mode if the object is removed from the touchpad surface, thereby activating all of the

electrodes so as to be able to immediately identify a new object wherever it makes contact with the touchpad surface.

- 5 36. The method as defined in claim 35 wherein the method further comprises the step of activating the focused scanning mode upon detection of the new object.

10

37. The method as defined in claim 36 wherein the method further comprises the steps of:

- (1) detecting a first object on the touchpad surface that increases a capacitance between a drive
15 electrode and a common sensing electrode;

(2) classifying the first object as an invalid pointing object;

- (3) nullifying an effect of the first object by balancing the drive electrodes to compensate for the
20 presence of the first object on the touchpad surface;
and

(4) returning to the wide scanning mode when another object is detected on the touchpad surface.

25

38. The method as defined in claim 37 wherein the method further comprises the steps of:

- (1) re-detecting the first object if it should change position on the touchpad surface; and
30 (2) again nullifying the effect of the first object at its new position on the touchpad surface.

39. The method as defined in claim 38 wherein the method further comprises the step of detecting and
35 nullifying a presence of a plurality of objects that

increase a capacitance between the drive electrodes and the common sensing electrode.

40. A method for providing improved power
5 conservation of a capacitance sensitive touchpad by improving a scanning scheme whereby an object is detected, identified and then tracked as it moves across a touchpad surface, said method comprising the steps of:

10 (1) utilizing a wide scanning mode when no objects are detected on the touchpad surface, wherein all electrodes are driven to an active mode so that the presence of an object can be detected at any location on the touchpad;

15 (2) detecting an object that touches the touchpad surface by observing a decrease in capacitance between electrodes and the common sense electrode in a vicinity of a location of the object; and

(3) utilizing a focused scanning mode to thereby
20 concentrate position determining activities in the vicinity of the location of the object, and consequently deactivate power that is going to electrodes that are not in the vicinity of the location of the object.

25

41. A method for improving a dynamic range of measurement circuitry for a capacitance sensitive touchpad, said method comprising the steps of:

(1) decreasing noise within an analog-to-digital
30 converter to thereby enable lower resolution data bits to be utilized in position calculations by the measurement circuitry;

(2) increasing a number of samples that are measured; and

(3) making measurement calculations utilizing at least two lower resolution data bits from the A/D converter.

- 5 42. The method as defined in claim 41 wherein the method further comprises the step of utilizing the improved dynamic range of the measurement circuitry in a touchpad which has sensor electrodes that are silk screened onto a supporting material.

10

1/3




Fig. 1






Fig. 2

2/3

*Fig. 3**Fig. 4*

3/3




Fig. 5

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
4 January 2001 (04.01.2001)


PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/00003 A3

- (51) International Patent Classification⁷: G09G 5/00, G08C 21/00
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/US00/17396
- (22) International Filing Date: 22 June 2000 (22.06.2000)
- (25) Filing Language: English
- (26) Publication Language: English
- (30) Priority Data: 60/140,379 22 June 1999 (22.06.1999) US
- (71) Applicant: CIRQUE CORPORATION [US/US]; 433 West Lawndale Drive, Salt Lake City, UT 84115 (US).
- (74) Agents: O'BRYANT, David, W. et al.; Morriss, Bateman, O'Bryant & Compagni, P.C., Suite 300, 5882 South 900 East, Salt Lake City, UT 84121 (US).
- (81) Designated States (*national*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (*regional*): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).
- (72) Inventors: GERPHEIDE, George; 3481 South Monte Verde Lane, Salt Lake City, UT 84109 (US). TAYLOR, Brian; 15 Rollingwood Lane, Sandy, UT 84092 (US). LEE, Daniel; 1970 Laird Drive, Salt Lake City, UT 84105 (US).
- Published:
— With international search report.
- (88) Date of publication of the international search report:
8 March 2001

[Continued on next page]

(54) Title: AN IMPROVED TOUCHPAD



(57) Abstract: An improved touchpad and measurement circuitry for enabling input to a computer or other electronic device. The system includes an X electrode (1), and Y electrode (2), a common sensing electrode (3), and a water electrode (4), wherein these four separate electrodes can be implemented in various physical configurations to obtain the desired effects, wherein moisture and water droplets can be identified and compensated for so as not to interfere with the input of data, wherein noise rejection is achieved by using a time aperture filtering method, wherein an improved scanning technique focuses scanning around an identified input object, wherein an adaptive motion filter responds to the speed and acceleration of an object being tracked, and wherein the measurement circuitry has an increased dynamic ranged enabling the touchpad to operate with greater tolerances to manufacturing variances.

WO 01/00003 A3



For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US00/17396

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTERIPC(7) : G09G 5/00; G08C 21/00
US CL : 345/173, 174; 178/18.01, 18.03, 18.06

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 345/173, 174, 179; 178/18.01, 18.03, 18.06, 19.03

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)
TOUCHPAD, CAPACITIVE, NOISE, MOISTURE, WATER, DROP\$, SCANS\$, POWER**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5,861,583 A (SCHEDIWY et al.) 19 January 1999 (19.01.1999), column 12, lines 1-50, column 8, lines 50-60, column 24, lines 17-68, column 25, lines 35-50, column 8, 54-62.	1-11
---		-----
Y		24-31 41-42
Y	US 5,327,161 A (LOGAN et al.) 05 July 1994 (05.07.1994), column 4, lines 49-60 and column 5, lines 45-66.	24-31
X	US 5,880,441 A (GILLESPIE et al.) 09 March 1999 (09.03.1999), column 14, lines 3-19 and column 19, lines 11-34.	32-40
---		-----
Y		41-42

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.☐ See patent family annex.*** Special categories of cited documents:**

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

29 August 2000 (29.08.2000)

Date of mailing of the international search report

18 DEC 2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US


Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703)305-3230

Authorized officer

DUC Q. DINH

Telephone No. (703)305-3434



Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US00/17396

Box I Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of Item 1 of first sheet)

This international report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claim Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☐ Claim Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claim Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box II Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 2 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
Please See Continuation Sheet

1. ☒ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

☐
☐

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet(1)) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US00/17396

BOX II. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.

Group I, claim(s) 1-11, drawn to a method and apparatus for reducing noise in a capacitive touchpad.

Group II, claim(s) 12-23 drawn to method and apparatus that is capable of compensating for the presence of liquid or moisture on a surface of an capacitive touchpad.

Group III, claim(s) 24-31, drawn to a method for improving performance of a capacitive touchpad according to an acceleration and speed of and object whose presence is detected on the touchpad surface.

Group IV, claim(s) 32-40, drawn to a method for improving power conversion of a capacitive touchpad by improving a scanning scheme.

Group V, claim(s) 41-42, drawn to a method for improving a dynamic range of measurement circuitry for a capacitive touchpad.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II, III, IV and V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Group I is directed to a method and apparatus to reduce noise effect in a capacitive touchpad; US Classified in Class 178/18.01.

Group II is directed to a method and apparatus that is capable of compensating for the presence of liquid or moisture on a surface of an capacitive touchpad: US Classified in Class 178/18.03

Group III is directed to a method for improving the performance of a touchpad according to an acceleration and speed of an detected object on the touchpad surface; U.S. Classified in Class 345/173

Group IV is directed to a method for improving power conversion of a capacitive touchpad by improving a scanning scheme; U.S. Classified in Class 178/18.06

Group V is directed to method for improving a dynamic range of measurement circuitry for a capacitive touchpad; U.S. Classified in Class 345/174

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)